

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFRIN DANEXIS RODRIGUEZ TREJO,

Defendant.

Case No. CR22-029-RSL

ORDER GRANTING
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
CONTINUE TRIAL AND
PRETRIAL MOTIONS DUE
DATE

This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial and Pretrial Motions Dates." (Dkt. # 25). Having considered the facts set forth in the motion, and defendant's knowing and voluntary waiver (Dkt. # 26), the Court finds as follows:

1. The Court adopts the facts set forth in the unopposed motion: in particular, that the government has only provided limited discovery to date, additional discovery is forthcoming and is necessary to assess whether any pretrial motions are appropriate, and defense counsel requires more time to investigate and research in preparation for trial once this discovery is received. The Court accordingly finds that a failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel, and any potential future counsel, the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

2. The Court finds that a failure to grant a continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL - 1

